

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/707,410	410 12/11/2003		John S. McKenzie	04112	1409
23688	7590	07/05/2005		EXAMINER	
Bruce E. PO BOX			BRAHAN, THOMAS J		
VANCOUVER, WA 98687-2735				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·			3652	
				DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/707,410	MCKENZIE, JOHN S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas J. Brahan	3652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply bon. The a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for statute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 December 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner. Note the attached Off	fice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in Applic	cation No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage			
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not rece	eived.			
•					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	• `			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		il Date lal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Minformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/11/2003.	6) Other:	iai i atom ripphoanon (i 10-102)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Of	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 062505			

Art Unit: 3652

- 1. The drawings are objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the removable floor panel of claim 11 and the claim of claim 12 must be shown, or the features must be canceled from the claims. No new matter may be entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Bouza. Bouza shows a pivoting platform with a pivot joint at one corner. It moves to various positions, any of which can be considered as a storage position, or as loading and unloading positions. A platform support member (50) is located opposite the pivoting joint from the platform, as recited in claim 2. The platform is removably mounted under a floor panel, which is also removable, as recited in claims 10 and 11.
- 5. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by McClendon et al. McClendon et al shows a pivoting platform made of plastic with pivot joints at the corners of the platform. The platform is removably clamped in place, as recited in claims 10 and 12.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Hurst. Hurst shows a pivoting platform with a pivot joint along the back edge, as to be along a corner. It moves between a storage position and a loading/unloading position. Pull straps (17) are located opposite the pivot joints, as recited in claims 3 and 4. It is made of plastic with part of the pivoting joint integral therewith, as recited in claim 7. It is removably mounted, as recited in claim 10.
- 7. Claims 1, 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Megargle et al Megargle et al shows a pivoting platform (72) with a pivot joint at a corner. It moves between a storage position and a loading/unloading position. A platform support member (42) is located opposite the pivoting joint from the platform, as recited in claim 2. It is permanently mounted in the cargo area, to the same degree as applicant's platform, as permanent is a relative term, as recited in claim 10.

Art Unit: 3652

- 8. Claims 5, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over McClendon et al. McClendon et al shows a pivoting platform made of plastic, but varies from the claims by not specifying that the plastic is capable of being vacuum formed (claim 5), by injection molding (claim 6), or with metal pivot pins (claim 8). However these would have been obvious design considerations within the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by applicant, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker to select a known material on the basis of suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice, see *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.
- 9. Claims 5, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hurst. Hurst shows a pivoting platform made of plastic, but varies from the claims by not specifying that the plastic is capable of being vacuum formed (claim 5), by injection molding (claim 6), or with metal pivot pins (claim 8). However these would have been obvious design considerations within the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by applicant, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker to select a known material on the basis of suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice, see *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.
- Ozols, Pilhall, Townsend and Wegner et al are cited as showing related cargo platform with pivot joints at the corners.
- 11. An inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Brahan whose telephone number is (571) 272-6921. The examiner's supervisor, Ms. Eileen Lillis, can be reached at (571) 272-6928. The fax number for all patent applications is (703) 872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Questions regarding access to the Private PAIR system, should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas J. Brahan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3652